What was done:

- Up-Down physics asymmetry analysis for four different ways to form the asymmetry From Kabir's thesis:
 - Single wire asymmetry (normalized by chamber integral yield):



• Wire pair asymmetry (1)

$$A_{kk^*}^r = \frac{R_{kk^*}^{\uparrow} - R_{kk^*}^{\downarrow}}{R_{kk^*}^{\uparrow} + R_{kk^*}^{\downarrow}}$$
$$= \frac{4PG_k A_p}{2 + 2(PG_k A_p)^2}$$

$$R_{kk^*}^{\uparrow} = \frac{y_k^{\uparrow}}{y_{k^*}^{\uparrow}} \text{ and } R_{kk^*}^{\downarrow} = \frac{y_k^{\downarrow}}{y_{k^*}^{\downarrow}},$$
$$y_k^{\uparrow} = Y_k^{\uparrow} - b_k \qquad y_k^{\downarrow} = Y_k^{\downarrow} - b_k$$

What was done:

- Up-Down physics asymmetry analysis for four different ways to form the asymmetry From Kabir's thesis:
 - Wire pair asymmetry (2)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k} - A_{k^{*}} &= \frac{y_{k}^{\uparrow} - y_{k}^{\downarrow}}{y_{k}^{\uparrow} + y_{k}^{\downarrow}} - \frac{y_{k^{*}}^{\uparrow} - y_{k^{*}}^{\downarrow}}{y_{k^{*}}^{\uparrow} + y_{k^{*}}^{\downarrow}} \qquad y_{k}^{\uparrow} = Y_{k}^{\uparrow} - b_{k} \qquad y_{k}^{\downarrow} = Y_{k}^{\downarrow} - b_{k} \\ &\approx PG_{k}A_{p} + \frac{I_{0}^{\uparrow} - I_{0}^{\downarrow}}{I_{0}^{\uparrow} + I_{0}^{\downarrow}} - \frac{I_{0}^{\uparrow} - I_{0}^{\downarrow}}{I_{0}^{\uparrow} + I_{0}^{\downarrow}} + PG_{k}A_{p} \quad \approx 2PG_{k}A_{p}. \end{aligned}$$

• Wire pair / beam asymmetry (3)

$$A_k + A_{k^*} \approx 2 \frac{I_0^{\uparrow} - I_0^{\downarrow}}{I_0^{\uparrow} - I_0^{\downarrow}}$$

What was done:

- □ Single asymmetries were calculated from pulse pairs (quartet and null to follow later)
- □ Asymmetries were grouped by batches (same as in Kabir's thesis) and calculated from error weighted averages / separated into complete 600 pulse sequences with spin up or down as starting pulse.
- □ Same cuts as implemented by Kabir were used (1 before and 19 after a dropped pulse)
- □ Beam fluctuation cut was implemented based on monitor data (> +- 1% variation between pulses in a pair)
- □ Wire/pair asymmetries were combined with error weighted averages, taking correlations into account.
- □ Separate asymmetries were obtained for 600 pulse sequence starting spin up and down, as well as the combined asymmetry.

$$A_{UD}^{SW} = 10 \pm 8 \text{ ppb}$$

 $A_{UD}^{WP,1} = 11 \pm 9 \text{ ppb}$
 $A_{UD}^{WP,2} = 11 \pm 9 \text{ ppb}$
 $A_{UD}^{WP,2} = -101 \pm 20 \text{ ppb}$
 $Kabir's Result:$
 $A_{UD} = (0.9528 \pm 0.9527) \times 10^{-8}$

Program output:

OTAT

Final Single Wire Asymmetry = 0.0989248 +- 0.0768935 swght = 125.353 Final Wire Pair Asymmetry = 0.111824 +- 0.0910967 swght = 125.353 Final Wire Pair Asymmetry 2 = 0.111824 +- 0.0910967 swght = 77.334 Final Wire Beam Asymmetry = -1.0105 +- 0.197509 Final Single Wire Asymmetry (pseq. spin -1) = 0.117238 +- 0.108775 Final Single Wire Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = 0.0806264 +- 0.108712 Final Wire Pair Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = 0.0524535 +- 0.123973 Final Wire Pair Asymmetry 2 (pseq. spin 1) = 0.0524535 +- 0.1239 Final Wire Pair Asymmetry 2 (pseq. spin 1) = 0.0524552 +- 0.123973 Final Wire Pair Asymmetry 2 (pseq. spin 1) = 0.0524552 +- 0.123973 Final Wire Beam Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -0.421591 +- 0.187672 Final Wire Beam Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -1.42804 +- 0.187362

$$A_{LR}^{SW} = -363 \pm 40$$
 ppb

$$A_{UD}^{WP,1} = -393 \pm 50$$
 ppb

$$A_{UD}^{WP,2} = -393 \pm 50$$
 ppb

$$A_{Beam}^{WP,2} = -158 \pm 103 \text{ ppb}$$

Program Output:

Final Single Wire Asymmetry = -3.63355 + -0.421451swght = 4.0399Final Wire Pair Asymmetry = -3.93433 + -0.507592swght = 4.0399Final Wire Pair Asymmetry 2 = -3.93433 + -0.507593swght = 2.84096Final Wire Beam Asymmetry = 1.58046 + -1.03768Final Single Wire Asymmetry (pseq. spin -1) = -4.9835 + -0.593782Final Single Wire Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -2.26422 + -0.598288Final Wire Pair Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -2.89759 + -0.686844Final Wire Pair Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -2.89759 + -0.692067Final Wire Pair Asymmetry 2 (pseq. spin 1) = -4.56258 + -0.686844Final Wire Pair Asymmetry 2 (pseq. spin 1) = -2.89758 + -0.692068Final Wire Beam Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -2.89758 + -0.692068Final Wire Beam Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -2.89758 + -0.692068Final Wire Beam Asymmetry (pseq. spin 1) = -6.86157 + -0.981181 Kabir's Result: $A_{\rm LR} = (-4.12 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-7}$

Single wire correlation coefficients, covariance and inverse covariance:



Wire pair correlation coefficients, covariance and inverse covariance:



UD Asymmetry by batch for starting pulse spin up (zoomed on the UD asymmetrys):



600 pulse sequence start spin = up

UD Asymmetry by batch for starting pulse spin down:



600 pulse sequence start spin down

UD Asymmetry by batch for starting pulse spin up (zoomed on the UD asymmetrys):



600 pulse sequence start spin = up

UD Asymmetry by batch for starting pulse spin down (zoomed on the UD asymmetrys):



600 pulse sequence start spin down

UD Asymmetry by batch for both starting spins:



UD Asymmetry by batch for both starting spins:



Wire pair (1) Asymmetry

UD Asymmetry vs wire for both starting spins:



Beam asymmetry vs wire for both starting spins (from wire pair analysis):

