## Correlations Calculation Hopefully Finally There !

## **Correlation Calculation : Through Diagonalization**

### The Recipe :

### 1. Calculate the physics asymmetry :

For a run, calculate the physics asymmetry between two pulses of any wire. The yield in the asymmetry has to be sum over detectors normalized and pedestal subtracted.

$$A_i = \frac{1}{G_i} \frac{Y_i^{\uparrow} - Y_i^{\downarrow}}{Y_i^{\uparrow} + Y_i^{\downarrow}} \tag{22}$$

2. Calculate the covariance out of physics asymmetry :

$$C_{ij} = Cov(A^i, A^j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (A^i_k - \bar{A}^i)(A^j_k - \bar{A}^j)$$
(23)

So  $C_{ij}$  is a 144x144 matrix and by construction its a symmetric matrix.

#### 3. Diagonalize the covariance matrix :

Noting the fact that matrix C is symmetric, find out a matrix S such that –

$$S^T C S = D \tag{24}$$

Where D is a diagonal matrix wit diagonal elements

$$D = diag(\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_3^2, \sigma_4^2 \dots, \sigma_{144}^2)$$
(25)

The matrix S is the transformation matrix to be used to transform back and forth between the correlated and uncorrelated basis (reference frame).

The matrix S can be built up from the eigenvectors of C as its column, so that D ends up with 2 eigenvalues along the diagonal.

#### 4. Transform data to new basis:

Transform all the wire physics asymmetry (calculated over the entire data set) in the new frame using the matrix S :

$$\bar{B}_i = S_{j,i}\bar{A}_j \tag{26}$$

Where  $S_{i,i}$  is the transpose of S.

#### 5. Transform the formalism (fit) :

Now in the rotated (uncorrelated) frame, the the  $y \rightarrow x$  map is not flat any more. Transforming everything we have :

Mean :

$$\bar{B}^{tot} = (K^T D^{-1} K)^{-1} K^T D^{-1} \bar{B} \qquad (39)$$

Uncertainty :

$$(\Delta \bar{B}^{tot})^2 = (K^T D^{-1} K)^{-1}$$
(40)

Chi square :

$$\chi^{2} = (\bar{B} - \bar{B}^{tot})^{T} D^{-1} (\bar{B} - \bar{B}^{tot})$$
$$= \sum_{i} \frac{(\bar{B}_{i} - \bar{B}^{tot})^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}$$
(41)

where,

$$W = D^{-1} = diag(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}, \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}, \frac{1}{\sigma_3^2}, \frac{1}{\sigma_4^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_{144}^2})$$
(42)

and D is the covariance matrix.

$$\bar{B} = S^T \bar{A}$$
(43)

$$K = S^{T}X$$
 (44)

Since  $\bar{A}_i$  or  $\bar{B}_i$  is itself a mean of n pulse pairs, so  $D^{-1}$  is in fact  $D^{-1} = diag(\frac{n}{\sigma_1^2}, \frac{n}{\sigma_2^2}, \frac{n}{\sigma_4^2}, ..., \frac{n}{\sigma_{144}^2})$  in our analysis.

6. Quote the result : So we quote the final result as :

$$B^{phy} = \bar{B}^{tot} \pm \Delta \bar{B}^{tot}$$
(45)

## Origin of The Problem that failed previous attempts

• Our Previous attempts failed because of the following reason ----

– The matrix elements that correspond to center wires or bad wires made the matrix nearly singular.

 Inverting or diagonalizing a matrix with few elements very very small gave rise to unexpected outcome.

- The result seems to make sense if we just work with the remaining 126 wires ( with 16 center and 2 bad wires excluded).
- Here the result is presented with "sum over detectors" normalized Physics asymmetry following the approach suggested by David.

## **Physics Asymmetry Correlation**



## **Eigenvalues from diagonalization**



## Correlated Physics Asymmetry (Run#26230)



# Correlated vs Corrected (Run: 26230)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Fit from correlated signals :

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Minimizer is Line	ar			
Chi2	=	164.884		
NDf	=	125		
p0	= 3	3.97886e-06	+/-	1.10173e-06

Fit from Uncorrelated (Corrected) signals :

Mean : 4.64902e-06 Uncertainty : 1.74034e-06 Chi Square : 182.686

## Correlated Physics (run#26231)



# Correlated vs Corrected (Run : 26231)

Fit from correlated signals :

\_\_\_\_\_

Minimizer is Line	ar			
Chi2	=	107.944		
NDf	=	125		
p0	= -4.	31872e-06	+/-	1.10217e-06

Fit from Uncorrelated signals :

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Mean : -4.96752e-06 Uncertainty : 1.70662e-06 Chi Square : 163.429