# Characterization of Noise Sources in the n3He Parity Violating Asymmetry Measurement

Mark McCrea

April 16, 2017

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ
< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## n3He Introduction

The n3He experimental goal is to make a high precision measurement of the parity violating directional asymmetry in the proton emission direction from the reaction

$$\vec{n}$$
 +<sup>3</sup> He  $\rightarrow$  p + T + 765 keV



The asymmetry is expected to be small around  $10^{-7}$  and our goal is to measure it to  $2 \times 10^{-8}$ .

## **Theoretical Motivation**



## n3He Schematic Diagram



- located at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) in Tennessee
- 60 hertz pulsed spallation source
- n3He took data during 2015 on the Fundamental Neutron Physics Beamline
- 20 K liquid hydrogen moderator for cold neutron beam lines

## Target Chamber



• Multi-wire proportional chamber

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

- 0.47 atm pure He-3 fill gas
- operated near unity gain

## n3He Target Chamber Schematic



## n3He Target Chamber Schematic



## Measured Charge Distribution in the Chamber



<sup>8/16</sup> 

## 60 Hz Neutron Pulse Spin Sequence



- ↑ indicates is a neutron pulse with the spin flipper off and the neutron polarization orientated parallel to gravity
- ↓ indicates a pulse with the spin flipper on the neutron polarization anti-parallel

A D > < A</p>

9/16

## Physics Asymmetry Calculations

For the signal wire i the mean wire yield for a pulse k is:

$$\bar{Y}_i = \frac{1}{40} \sum_{t=5}^{44} Y_{i,t} = S_i + b_i$$
 (1)

where  $S_i$  is the neutron contribution to the signal and  $b_i$  is the electronic pedestals. The single wire physics asymmetry is calculated for a pairs of consecutive neutron pulses with the spin sequence  $\uparrow\downarrow$ :

$$A_{i}^{phys} = \frac{\bar{Y}_{i}^{\uparrow} - \bar{Y}_{i}^{\downarrow}}{\bar{Y}_{i}^{\uparrow} - \bar{Y}_{i}^{\downarrow}} \approx \frac{1}{G_{i}} \frac{S_{i}^{\uparrow} - S_{i}^{\downarrow}}{S_{i}^{\uparrow} + S_{i}^{\downarrow}} + \frac{1}{G_{i}} \frac{b_{i}^{\uparrow} - b_{i}^{\downarrow}}{S_{i}^{\uparrow} + S_{i}^{\downarrow}}$$
(2)

where  $ar{Y}_i^\uparrow$  , and  $ar{Y}_i^\downarrow$  .

### Instrumental Asymmetry Calculations

To measure the effect on the uncertainty of the physics asymmetry by the pedestals beam off data was taken at 1 week intervals during data taking with an instrumental asymmetry calculated for pairs of consecutive neutron pulses with the spin sequence  $\uparrow\downarrow$ :

$$A_i^{inst} = \frac{1}{G_i} \frac{\bar{Y}_i^{\uparrow} - \bar{Y}_i^{\downarrow}}{2\bar{S}_i}$$
(3)

where  $\overline{S}_i$  is the mean wire signal over all beam on running An additional check called the Null Asymmetry was calculated using four pulse sequences,  $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow$  to calculate the asymmetry:

$$A_i^{null} = \frac{1}{G_i} \frac{\bar{Y}_i^{\uparrow} - \bar{Y}_i^{\uparrow\uparrow}}{2\bar{S}_i} \qquad \qquad A_i^{\prime null} = \frac{1}{G_i} \frac{\bar{Y}_i^{\downarrow} - \bar{Y}_i^{\prime\downarrow}}{2\bar{S}_i} \tag{4}$$

which should average to zero if the spin flipper

## Un-Scaled Wire Instrumental Asymmetry Comparison



12/16

## Scaled Wire Instrumental Asymmetry Comparison



## FFT Analysis Results



FFT-SpecDens-r17785-d21-c1-w1

### Features of Note:

- large 180 Hertz peak on most wires
- variable cluster of peaks near 210 Hz wire resonance
- other peak variable
- No dominant peak in wire values

Asymmetry	
Preliminary Physics	$(10\pm10) imes10^{-9}$
Instrumental	$(-0.2\pm1.18) imes10^{-9}$
Null	$(\pm) imes 10^{-9}$

- The instrumental asymmetry is small compared to the physics asymmetry, and consistent with zero.
- The null asymmetry is consistent with zero indicating no spin flipper leakage into the signal readout.
- The systematic uncertainty in the experimental result should is small compared to the statistical uncertainty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Award Number DE-SC0014622.

## n3He Collaboration

### Duke University, Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory

Pil-Neo Seo

### Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa

Michele Viviani

### **Oak Ridge National Laboratory**

- Seppo Penttil
- David Bowman
- Vince Cianciolo
- Jack Thomison

### University of Kentucky

- Chris Crawford
- Latiful Kabir
- Aaron Sprow

### Western Kentucky University

Ivan Novikov

### University of Manitoba

- Michael Gericke
- Mark McCrea
- Carlos Olguin

# Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

- Libertad Baron
- Jose Favela

#### University of New Hampshire

John Calarco

### University of South Carolina

- Vladimir Gudkov
- Matthias Schindler

Young-Ho Song

### University of Tennessee

- Nadia Fomin
- Geoff Greene
- Serpil Kucuker
- Chris Hayes
- Chris Coppola
- Irakli Garishvili
- Eric Plemons

# University of Tennessee at Chattanooga

- Josh Hamblen
- Caleb Wickersham

### University of Virginia

• S. Baessler