

University of Kentucky, Physics 306
Homework #3, Rev. A, due Wednesday, 2024-01-30

1. Orthogonal Projections: Parallel and perpendicular projections can be expressed as $P_{\parallel} = \hat{n}\hat{n}\cdot$ and $P_{\perp} = -\hat{n} \times \hat{n} \times$, in terms of the dot and cross products respectively, which themselves are projected products $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{v} = av_{\parallel}$ and $\vec{a} \times \vec{v} = \hat{n}av_{\perp}$. We will build them up geometrically piece-by-piece, and explore their matrix versions in this problem.

a) Starting with the vector $\vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$, i) draw $\vec{r}$ for some value of x and y , ii) illustrate the length $r_x = \hat{x} \cdot \vec{r}$, and the vector $\vec{r}_x = \hat{x}\hat{x} \cdot \vec{r}$ with the same magnitude, in the direction $\hat{x}$. iii) Calculate $\vec{r}_x = \hat{x}(\hat{x} \cdot \vec{r})$, where $\hat{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\hat{x} \cdot = \hat{x}^T = (1 \ 0)$. iv) Calculate the matrix $P_x = \hat{x}\hat{x}\cdot$ and then $\vec{r}_x = P_x\vec{r}$, which should be the same by associativity. v) Show that $P_x^2 = P_x$ algebraically and by multiplying matrices. vi) Calculate $P_y = \hat{y}\hat{y}\cdot$ and show that $P_x + P_y = I$, so that $\vec{r}_x + \vec{r}_y = \vec{r}$.

b) Starting with some vector $\vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$, i) draw the vectors $\vec{r}$, $\hat{n}r_{\perp} = \hat{z} \times \vec{r}$ (note both the projection and the rotation by 90°), $-\vec{r}_{\perp} = \hat{z} \times (\hat{z} \times \vec{r})$ (another rotation) and finally the projection $\vec{r}_{\perp}$ in the xy -plane, perpendicular to $\hat{z}$. ii) Calculate the components of $\vec{r}_{\perp} = -\hat{z} \times (\hat{z} \times \vec{r})$ iii) to obtain the matrix $P_{\perp} = -\hat{z} \times \hat{z} \times$ by its action on $\vec{r}$. iv) Show $P_{\perp}^2 = P_{\perp}$ by matrix multiplication and v) $P_{\parallel} + P_{\perp} = I$ by adding the matrices, and by using the rule $\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = \vec{b}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{c}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})$.

2. General Projections: relational versus parametric linear/planar geometry.

a) Show graphically that the following equations define the set of points $\{\vec{x}\}$ on a **line** or **plane**,

	relational	parametric
line	$\{\vec{x} \mid \vec{a} \times \vec{x} = \vec{d}\}$	$\{\vec{x} = \vec{x}_1 + \vec{a}\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$
plane	$\{\vec{x} \mid \vec{A} \cdot \vec{x} = D\}$	$\{\vec{x} = \vec{x}_2 + \vec{b}\beta + \vec{c}\gamma \mid \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\}$

where $\vec{a}, \vec{d}, \vec{A}, D$ are constants which define the geometry, $\vec{x}_{1,2}$ are fixed points on the line and plane respectively, and α, β, γ are parameters that vary along the line/plane to uniquely parametrize points in the line/plane. [bonus: Show the 5th relation $\{\vec{x} = \vec{x}_2 + \vec{A} \times \vec{d} \mid \vec{d} \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$.]

b) What constraint between $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{d}$ is implicit in the formula $\vec{a} \times \vec{x} = \vec{d}$? What is the relation between $\vec{b}$, $\vec{c}$, and $\vec{A}$? Substitute $\vec{x}$ of each parameterization into its relational equation to show the consistency between the two forms and to derive $\vec{d}$ and D in terms of $\vec{a}, \vec{x}_1$ and $\vec{A}, \vec{x}_2$.

c) Define $\tilde{\vec{a}} \equiv \vec{A}/(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{A})$, which is parallel to $\vec{A}$, and *normalized* in the sense that $\vec{a} \cdot \tilde{\vec{a}} = 1$. Using the BAC-CAB rule, show that $\vec{x} = \vec{a}(\tilde{\vec{a}} \cdot \vec{x}) - \tilde{\vec{a}} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{x})$ for all $\vec{x}$. Illustrate this non-orthogonal projection of $\vec{x}$ onto vectors $\vec{x}_1$ parallel to the line plus $\vec{x}_2$ parallel to the plane. [bonus: use this to calculate the point $\vec{x}_0$ at the intersection of the line and plane in terms of $\vec{a}, \vec{d}, \vec{A}, D$. Verify this by showing that $\vec{x}_0$ satisfies the relational equation for both the line and plane.]

d) Let $\tilde{\vec{a}} \equiv \frac{\vec{b} \times \vec{c}}{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \times \vec{c}}$, $\tilde{\vec{b}} \equiv \frac{\vec{c} \times \vec{a}}{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \times \vec{c}}$, and $\tilde{\vec{c}} \equiv \frac{\vec{a} \times \vec{b}}{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \times \vec{c}}$, where the arrows on $\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}$ distinguish them from their *covectors* $\tilde{\vec{a}}, \tilde{\vec{b}}, \tilde{\vec{c}}$. The definition of $\tilde{\vec{a}}$ is the same as in c) with $\vec{A} = \vec{b} \times \vec{c}$. Calculate the nine combinations of $(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c})^T \cdot (\tilde{\vec{a}}, \tilde{\vec{b}}, \tilde{\vec{c}}) = I$, i.e. $\vec{a} \cdot \tilde{\vec{a}} = 1$, $\vec{a} \cdot \tilde{\vec{b}} = 0$, ... to show they are *mutually orthonormal*. In this sense, $(\tilde{\vec{a}}, \tilde{\vec{b}}, \tilde{\vec{c}})$ is the *dual* basis of $(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c})$. [bonus: Show using Cramer's rule

that $[\tilde{\mathbf{a}}|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|\tilde{\mathbf{c}}] = [\vec{\mathbf{a}}|\vec{\mathbf{b}}|\vec{\mathbf{c}}]^{-1}$, ie. that it is a *reciprocal basis*.]

e) The *contravariant components* of $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$ are defined as the components (α, β, γ) that satisfy the equation $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \vec{\mathbf{a}}\alpha + \vec{\mathbf{b}}\beta + \vec{\mathbf{c}}\gamma$; i.e., $(\vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{b}}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})$ is the contravariant basis. Using $\vec{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{a}}$, etc., calculate the three contravariant components of $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$ in terms of dot products. Likewise, find the *covariant components* $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$ of $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$, defined as the components $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$ which satisfy $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\tilde{\gamma}$; i.e. $(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}})$ is the covariant basis.