

University of Kentucky, Physics 404G  
Homework #4, Rev. B, due Thursday, 2018-09-27

**1. Calculus of variations** was foreseen by Pierre de Fermat, who realized that *Snell's law* could be viewed as a *principle of least time* (or *optical path length*) for a ray of light to travel between two points. He essentially invented *calculus* to solve this and other types of minimization problems, although he mislabeled the use of his infinitesimal  $\epsilon$  as *adequality* instead of more correctly as  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}$ . The term “adequality” for “approximate equality” was borrowed from Diophantus, in whose book he penciled *Fermat's last theorem* in the margin without proof.

Maupertuis, who felt that “Nature is thrifty in all of its actions,” was bothered that time and distance were not treated on an equal footing. He developed the principle of **least action**, minimizing instead the integral  $\int v ds = \int 2T/m dt$ , one of the first appearances of *vis viva*. This was further expanded from optics to mechanics by Euler as the *abbreviated action*  $S_0 = \int p dq$ . The modern action  $S = \int \mathcal{L} dt$  and associated equations of stationary action  $(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q}) \mathcal{L} = 0$  were developed by Lagrange and Hamilton. This same principle extends to quantum field theory and *Feynman path integrals*. We have already seen that these equations are just Newton's law written in covariant components. This problem explores the interpretation of the expression in parenthesis as a variational derivative  $\frac{\delta}{\delta q}$  for all path variations  $\delta q$ .

**a)** Minimize the time taken for a ray of light to travel from  $P_1 = (x_1, y_1)$  to  $P_2 = (x_2, y_2)$  through an interface at  $y = 0$  between two materials of *index of refraction*  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Assume for now that the shortest path between two points is a straight line, so that the only variable is  $x$ , where the ray crosses the interface at  $y = 0$ . Derive Snell's law from your answer. [bonus:] extend your solution to the case of a ray crossing multiple parallel interfaces, and show it is equivalent to  $\nabla f(x_1, x_2, \dots) = 0$  for crossing points  $x_i$ .

**b)** Use calculus of variations to show that the shortest distance between  $(x_0, y_0)$  and  $(x_1, y_1)$  is a straight line. Find the function  $y(x)$  that minimizes the functional  $S[y(x)] = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2}$ .

**2. Damped ballistic motion**—In H01, we neglected air resistance of our frog-prince's trajectory. Assume a spherical frog of diameter  $D = 5$  cm, and mass  $m = 1$  kg.

**a)** Calculate the Reynolds number  $R = Dv\rho/\eta$  for the initial muzzle velocity  $v_0 = 10$  m/s, using the viscosity  $\eta = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$  N s/m<sup>2</sup> and density  $\rho = 1.29$  kg/m of air at STP, and decide whether to use a linear  $F_{lin} = 3\pi\eta Dv$  or quadratic  $F_{quad} = \frac{1}{4}\rho A v^2$  drag force. Calculate the terminal velocity  $v_{ter}$ .

**b)** Repeat the simulation including air resistance, using the same initial conditions as tuned in H01. Does he still make it? Determine the initial velocity and direction required to safely land the frog-prince, accounting for air resistance. Plot and compare the trajectories with and without resistance; including both numerical and analytic solutions on the same graph.