

* QM spin is **NOT**: classical spin !

- it is not "internal" angular momentum (of constituents inside the particle)

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{J} &= \sum_i \vec{r}_i \times \vec{p}_i = \sum_i (\vec{r}_{cm} + \vec{r}_i') \times (\vec{p}_{cm} + \vec{p}_i') & \sum_i m_i(l, \vec{r}_i) &\equiv m(l, \vec{r}_{cm}) \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_i \vec{r}_{cm} \times \vec{p}_{cm}}_{\vec{L} = \vec{r}_{cm} \times \vec{p}_{cm}} + \underbrace{\sum_i \vec{r}_{cm} \times \vec{p}_i'}_{\vec{L}} + \underbrace{\sum_i \vec{r}_i' \times m_i \vec{r}_{cm}}_{\vec{L}} + \underbrace{\sum_i \vec{r}_i' \times \vec{p}_i'}_{\vec{S} = \sum_i \vec{r}_i' \times \vec{p}_i'} \\ &= \vec{L} + \vec{S}\end{aligned}$$

- we will learn QM "addition of angular momenta" later
- occurs even for point-like ("fundamental") particles !
- has the wrong tensorial nature: Spin 1/2 not scalar or vector !
- even spin-1 (which IS a vector) looks different !
- not necessarily QM - also classical ! (e.g. polarization)
- not a special relativistic effect, even though it appears naturally in the solution of the Dirac eq'n. *Levy-Leblond, Comm Math. Phys 6, 286 (1967)*

* QM spin **IS**:

- **intrinsic** angular momentum (invariant; nonlocal - independent of \vec{r})
Ohnian, A.J.P 54 500 (1986), Gsponer, arXiv: physics/0308627 (2003)
- a **property of waves** !: it is the "angular momentum/magnetic moment generated by circulation of energy/current in the **wave field**"
Belinfante, Physica 6 887 (1939), Gordon, Z. Phys 50 630 (1928), Humbert Physica 10, 585 (1943)
- it is caused/characterized by the invariant **tensorial nature** of the field:
a scalar has no spin $S=0$, a vector has $S=1$, Quadrupole/metric tensor $S=2$

eg#1 \vec{L} vector $\rightarrow L_-, L_z, L_+$ ($m=-1, 0, 1$) "spherical tensor components"

eg#2 photon: $\vec{E} = (\hat{x} E_x + \hat{y} E_y) e^{ikz - \omega t}$ has 2 polarizations: $\epsilon_x = \hat{x}$, $\epsilon_y = \hat{y}$
circular polarization: $\epsilon_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y})$ and $\epsilon_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y})$ (see Ohnian)
has $S=1$, $M_S = \pm 1$ - note $M_S=0$ (longitudinal pol) is missing
note: ang. momentum $\int d\vec{S} \equiv \vec{E} \times \vec{A} dV = \frac{1}{\omega}$ $U = \hbar \omega$ $\hbar S = 1 \cdot \hbar$
 $\vec{S} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} = \vec{r} \times \vec{E} \times \vec{B} = \vec{E} \times \vec{A}$ $\int dV = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}) = \frac{1}{\omega}$ quantized for photons.

note: ang. momentum $\vec{S} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} = \int \vec{r} \times \vec{E} \times \vec{B} = \int \vec{E} \times \vec{A}$ $\frac{d\vec{S}}{dt} = \vec{E} \times \vec{A} \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{1}{\omega}$ $u = \hbar \omega$ $S = 1 \cdot \hbar$ quantized for photons.

eg 3 $\vec{r}_1 \otimes \vec{r}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_2 & x_1 y_2 & x_1 z_2 \\ y_1 x_2 & y_1 y_2 & y_1 z_2 \\ z_1 x_2 & z_1 y_2 & z_1 z_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \vec{r}_1 \cdot \vec{r}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \vec{r}_1 \times \vec{r}_2 + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{r}_1 \vec{r}_2 + \vec{r}_2 \vec{r}_1) - \frac{1}{3} \vec{r}_1 \cdot \vec{r}_2 \mathbf{I}$
+trace scalar $S=0$ antisymmetric pseudovector $S=1$ symmetric traceless tensor $S=2$

- so spin itself is the \nearrow of the fields (multiple components/polarizations) is classical, has geometric interpretation, and can be quantized.

- perhaps the real mystery is that $S = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ exist in nature, as predicted by the operator analysis of angular momentum.

- so the question isn't "what is spin", but rather "what is the geometrical interpretation of a 2-component field?"

convention answer: "an irreducible representation of the rotation group" ie. it just mathematically works out that way. (from operator analysis)

* Each fundamental particle is characterized by its spin:

BOSONS $S=0$: Higgs π, η, K $S=1$: vector bosons/mesons $\gamma, W^\pm, Z, g, \rho, \omega, \dots$ $S=2$: graviton $g_{\mu\nu}$

FERMIONS $S=\frac{1}{2}$: quarks & leptons $p, n, \Sigma, \Lambda, \Xi$ $S=\frac{3}{2}$: (decuplet) $\Delta, \Sigma, \Xi, \Omega$

* Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ system: 1) $\vec{S} \times \vec{S} = i\hbar \vec{S}$ [angular momentum] Postulates 2) $S \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots\}$ is an intrinsic property of each particle

• for $S=\frac{1}{2}$ we can't write a wave function, we don't want to anyway.
 • the state is: $|S, m\rangle$ defined as the simultaneous eigenstate of:

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 |S, m\rangle &= \hbar^2 S(S+1) |S, m\rangle \\ S_z |S, m\rangle &= \hbar m |S, m\rangle \end{aligned} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 \quad (S=\frac{1}{2}) \\ &m = \pm \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$S_\pm |S, m\rangle = \hbar \sqrt{S(S+1) - m(m\pm 1)} |S, m\pm 1\rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} 0 & \text{off the edge} \\ \hbar & \text{raising/lowering} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{\pm} |s, m\rangle = \hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - m(m\pm 1)} |s, m\pm 1\rangle \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{off the edge} \\ \hbar & \text{raising/lowering} \end{cases}$$

used to get $S_x \pm i S_y = S_{\pm}$

* canonical matrix representation of $s = 1/2$: the SPINOR

$$\text{ie } \vec{V} = v_x \hat{x} + v_y \hat{y}$$

$$\chi = a \chi_+ + b \chi_-$$

$$\chi_+ \equiv |\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\rangle \quad \chi_- \equiv |\frac{1}{2} -\frac{1}{2}\rangle$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S^2 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \frac{3}{4} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } S^2 = \frac{3}{4} \hbar I$$

PAULI MATRICES

$$S_z \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_z \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } S_z = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } S_+ = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } S_- = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_x = \frac{1}{2} (S_+ + S_-) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_y = \frac{1}{2i} (S_+ - S_-) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{check: } S_x^2 + S_y^2 + S_z^2 = S_+ S_- + \hbar S_z + S_z^2 = S^2 = \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 I$$

* eigenvectors of σ_x or σ_y

$$\sigma_x \chi_{\pm}^{(x)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0-\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 0-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 1 = 0 \quad \lambda = \pm 1$$

$$\lambda = 1: \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = -1: \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U^{(x)} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+^{(x)} & \chi_-^{(x)} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_x U^{(x)} = U^{(x)} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\sigma_z}$$

* Pauli algebra - a "Clifford algebra".

• let $\vec{\sigma} = [\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z]$ then $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \underbrace{\delta_{ij}}_{\text{DOT (sym)}} I + i \underbrace{\epsilon_{ijk}}_{\text{CROSS. (antisym)}} \sigma_k$
 "basis frame"! $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} I + i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A} \times \vec{B}$

• the fact that $\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{\sigma} = 2i\vec{\sigma}$ mimics the cross product allows $\vec{\sigma}$ to "generate" rotations.

• decomposition of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ 2×2 complex matrices:

$$M = \underbrace{I a}_{\text{Scalar}} + \underbrace{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b}}_{\text{Vector}} + \underbrace{i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{c}}_{\text{Axial vector}} + \underbrace{i I d}_{\text{Pseudoscalar}}$$

* what is the state of an electron?

$$|n l m_l m_s\rangle = |n l m_l\rangle \otimes |m_s\rangle \cong \phi_{n l m_l}(r, \theta, \phi) \otimes \chi_{m_s} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{n l m}^+ \\ \psi_{n l m}^- \end{pmatrix}(r, \theta, \phi)$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{m_s = \pm 1/2} \sum_{n l m} c_{n l m}^{m_s} \phi_{n l m}^{m_s}(r, \theta, \phi) \chi_{m_s}$$

- It is a "spinor field" - 2-component wavefunction with certain transformation properties.

- What are these transformation properties?

- What is the geometrical significance of them?