Percolating Conductive Systems and Critical Exponents

Matthew Golden

July 8, 2022

1 Introduction

We are modeling a conductive system as a percolation network to study critical phenomena. In our model of percolation, a network in a square lattice consists of conducting "bonds" or "links" which are joined by "sites" or "nodes". The links between each two sites may be connected with probability p, or not connected with probability 1 – p, and they are assumed to be independent of each other. The possibility that a connected path exists from top to bottom or from side to side is dependent on whether p is above or below the *percolation threshold*, the probability at which a connected path may manifest. Percolation has a singularity at the critical point $p = p_c$ and many properties behave as of a power-law as p approaches p_c . For the square lattice the percolation threshold is exactly $p_c = \frac{1}{2}$. For $p_c < \frac{1}{2}$ there is no such path, and for $p_c > \frac{1}{2}$ there is a greater than zero chance that there is a connected path.

In our conductive system the links represent conductors, with specified conductance; equal to 1(connected link) or 0(missing link). The boundaries(edges) of the square will either be grounded(V = 0) or not allow current to exit. At a chosen node inside the boundaries the voltage is specified to be V = 1. The voltages on the other sites then give rise to currents on the conductive links, and these currents have to balance at every interior site, so that just as much flows into the site as flows out(according to Kirchhoff's Laws). This defines a large set of linear equations that determines the voltages at the interior sites and the total current that flows through the network. From that point we use the set of linear equations to find the total conductance – the total current entering or leaving the network.

Now there are different questions we can pose: one can apply a voltage at one point and provide a grounded point somewhere else, and determine the current; or the grounded part could be one edge of a large square; the top edge could be at one potential and the bottom edge at another; or we could study the case with a rectangle. In each case there has to be long connected paths for there to be conductance; the magnitude of the conductance will depend on the length and whether there are many alternate routes or just a few.

2 Procedure

2.1 Preliminary Data

The program I will be using to study this model, written by Dr. Straley, has a variable p that is the fraction of internal bonds that have unit conductance. As earlier mentioned, the percolation threshold for the square lattice is exactly $p_c = \frac{1}{2}$, so we will run our simulations with $p = \frac{1}{2}$. This will be our method of studying the percolation problem and study how physical properties behave with system size N. Our first goal is to gather data in the case where we apply a voltage to middle of the lattice and calculate the average conductance with varying sizes of N. From there we will consider other cases that were mentioned above. We plan to run many simulations and gather data using the Lipscomb Compute Cluster(LCC). With our knowledge of the percolation threshold it is possible for us make predictions for how the conductance will behave. The conductivity exponent, t, describes how the electrical conductivity G goes to zero as the percolation threshold is approached when $p > p_c$,

$$G \sim |p - p_c|^{-t}$$

[3]

From the data we will plot $\log(N)$ versus $\log(G)$ where N is the side length of our square and G is our average conductance. The slope of the curve is the critical exponent, t, that describes the power law of the conductance with respect to the size of the lattice. The behavior is related to what was described above by replacing $|p - p_c|$ by N. Thus, the conductance is given by $G \sim N^{-t}$. The critical exponent has generally been accepted to be $t \approx 0.97$ [1] but we perhaps think it could be t = 1.



We ran these simulations with N = 16, 32, 65, 128. The slope of the curve is approximately -1.01, which is above our predicted t, but memory overflow issues in the program kept us from simulating larger values of N.

2.2 Conductance of Different Size Lattices

To see the relationship between the conductance of the system and the size of the system, where we place the potential in the origin of the lattice, we decided to run the program multiple times with two difference sequences of increasing N. One sequence being even, N = 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, and the other odd, N = 9, 17, 33, 65, 129. The reasoning for one sequence being odd and one sequence being even is because in an even lattice there is no "center". If we have a 4x4 lattice, the site at which we decide the place the potential would slightly be closer to one boundary over the other, whereas in an odd lattice there is a definite origin in the lattice. Although the effects of off-center voltage point diminish quickly as the size increases.



From the plots above we can see quite a difference in the average conductance for lattice sizes 8 and 9, but in the lattice sizes 128 and 129 the difference is not observable. We can see that we have slight differences in our exponent, t, in the even and odd runs. The odd run has t closer to unity than the even run does. This is presumably from the effects the even sequence endures due to the off centered origin.

Now I wanted to observe the behavior of the conductance with larger lattices knowing that the factors that affect smaller lattices diminish and do not have a significant affect on the conductance in the larger case. In this run I simulated



lattices of size N = 50,100,150,200 and still placing the potential in the origin of the lattice.

In this above figure our exponent t is very close to unity, but we our program is still limited to only calculated lattices of size 200 so we are unable to see how the behavior continues. We assume the value of the slope would be closer to the actual value of t. In the following weeks I will calculate the lattice that contains a larger set of N sizes, and I hope to increase the size of the lattice beyond the value of 200.

2.3 The Distance Function

In attempting to find the relationship between the average conductance and the distance to the boundary, we want the "distance" that explains between a point in the square and the boundary, that treats all sides the same and makes sense from the point of view of conformal transformations. To find this distance function, we want to map our square into an infinite half-plane and then use the method of images technique, which is rather simple for this geometry, and solve for an expression of the distance. Dr. Reš had found an expression for this function [2] to solve a similar problem that Dr. Straley has adjusted to fit our specific problem

$$Distance = \left[\frac{Im[sn([\frac{2K}{N}]z|k)]}{|cn([\frac{2K}{N}]z|k) \times dn([\frac{2K}{N}]z|k)|}\right]^{\frac{-t}{\nu}}$$

Where z = (x + i(y + K)) is a complex variable defined on the rectangle, and sn, cn, and dn are the Jacobian elliptic functions. To further my understanding of this distance concept, I wrote a program in python to plot a contour showing the distance to the boundary at any point in the lattice using the distance function.



I also made a line graph to show how the distance behaves if you were traverse the lattice from side to side or along a diagonal.

2.4 Conductance at Different Distances from Boundary

After attaining a basic understanding of how the distance formula works, it is time to test it's relation with the conductance. The next part of the project is to calculate the conductance from points at various places in the square, with the square always the same size. If the theory is right, the conductance is a function of the distance only. The two-dimensional set of values, the coordinates of a point in the lattice, collapses into a function of one variable.

For my first run to test this I simulated a lattice of N = 100 at seventeen intentional points which creates a small square lattice of its own.



From the plot we can see that the conductance seems to depend on the distance. Also we see multiple points have the different conductance's for the same distance. The reason for this is that in a even numbered lattice there is no proper center as mentioned earlier. So our coordinates are not actually symmetric about the origin.

In my next run I wanted more data to show express the relationship more accurately. I simulated a larger lattice of N = 150 at 40 random coordinates to better test the theory.



In this plot we can see the conductance relies on the distance more clearly. We can conclude that the conductance to the boundary depends only on the "distance".

3 Conclusion

We have seen that the relationship between the average conductance and the size of the lattice by a power law hold true, and we have seen the same for the conductance and the distance to the boundary. The distance to the boundary question can still be studied further by modifying the geometry of the lattice: by trying odd sided lattices. The next immediate question we are going to study is how the distance function fares when we insulate one the boundaries. We may also study the geometry of a rectangle or triangle.

4 Acknowledgements

We would thank the University of Kentucky Center for Computational Sciences and Information Technology Services Research Computing for their support and use of the Lipscomb Compute Cluster and associated research computing resources.

References

- [1] CJ Lobb and DJ Frank. "Percolative conduction and the Alexander-Orbach conjecture in two dimensions". In: *Physical Review B* 30.7 (1984), p. 4090.
- Ivica Reš and Joseph P. Straley. "Order parameter for two-dimensional critical systems with boundaries". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 61 (21 June 2000), pp. 14425-14433. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.61.14425. URL: https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.14425.
- [3] Joseph P. Straley. "Percolation Structures and Processes". In: Annals of the Israel Physical Society (1983).